



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/530,156 | 08/31/2000 | Oliver Hecker | AP9472 | 3844 |
| 10291 7. | 590 08/27/2003 | | | |
| RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE SUITE 140 | | | ÉXAMINER | |
| | | | BURCH, MELODY M | |
| BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610 | |) | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3683 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/27/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/530,156 | HECKER ET AL. | | | | |
| navioury nation | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| · | Melody M. Burch | 3683 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper reply to a control cation. A proper reply to a control cation in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing details of this Advisor on the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor on the period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most partner of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ing a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec | tion(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a s | eparate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| ∑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1, 10, 17-19. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | , | | | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/530,156

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendment raises 112 issues in claim 1. It is unclear to the Examiner how the diminishing step which includes diminishing the amount of excess elevation throughout the duration of the third mode includes keeping the excess elevation function constant during intervals when the master cylinder (pressure) is increasing. Also the phrases "said controlling step" in lines 2-3 from the bottom of claim 1 and "the master cylinder" in the last line of claim 1 lack proper antecedent basis.

mmB 8/26/03

> MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 310